

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,993	02/05/2004	Robert Taft	J0227.70001US01	5388	
	7590 03/10/2005 NFIELD & SACKS, P.C	EXAMINER			
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
BOSTON, MA	. 02210-2206		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/772.993 TAFT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DV. STATE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. STORENS OF STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE A STATE A STATE AND A STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or e	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	. ,						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,993 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

2.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a method and system for providing colony management

services, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

 Claims 30-32, drawn to a kit for distributing cryo-preserved embryos, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and

effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different

designs, the method is for providing services regarding colony management, does not require the

use of embryos, nor does it require the use of cryo-preservation, as stated for Invention II; they

have different modes of operations, Invention I manages services, whereas Invention II provides

instructions on how to wash a cryo-preserved embryos; and they have different effects, Invention I provides a service to a user, which the service does not have to be a cryo-preserved embryo,

and Invention II is not necessarily providing a colony management with a plurality of services.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions

listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of

the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,993

Art Unit: 3629

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species (If the applicant chooses Invention I)
 - a. Species A, Claims 10-12, is drawn to a distinct and independent species regarding rapid expansion of a mouse colony. (if species is chosen, a subspecies must also be chosen)
 - i. Subspecies i, Claim 10, the pregnant mouse is shipped.
 - ii. Subspecies ii, Claim 11, the live progency is shipped.
 - iii. Subspecies iii, Claim 12, the embyo is shipped.
 - Species B, Claims 13-15, is drawn to a distinct and independent species regarding cryo-preserving of mouse embryos.
 - Species C, Claim 16, is drawn to a distinct and independent species regarding a rapid production of desired number of progeny.
 - d. Species D, Claim 17, is drawn to a distinct and independent species of rapid production of congenic strains with desired genetic traits.
 - e. Species E, Claim 18, is drawn to a distinct and independent species of rapid production of congenic strains with desired phenotypes.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,993

Art Unit: 3629

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the
mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious
variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently (for Invention I), Claims 1-9 and 19-29 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Application/Control Number: 10/772,993

Art Unit: 3629

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,993 Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jamisue A. Plucinski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629